arXiv:1602.06094v1 [math.RA] 19 Feb 2016

Elementary Matrix Reduction Over Bézout Duo-domains

Huanyin Chen

Department of Mathematics, Hangzhou Normal University
Hangzhou 310036, China
huanyinchen@aliyun.com

Marjan Sheibani

Faculty of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science
Semnan University, Semnan, Iran
m.sheibani1@gmail.com

Abstract: A ring R is an elementary divisor ring if every matrix over R admits a diagonal reduction. If R is an elementary divisor domain, we prove that R is a Bézout duo-domain if and only if for any $a \in R$, $RaR = R \Longrightarrow \exists\ s, t \in R$ such that $sat = 1$. We further explore various stable-like conditions on a Bézout duo-domain under which it is an elementary divisor domain. Many known results are thereby generalized to much wider class of rings, e.g. [3, Theorem 3.4.], [5, Theorem 14], [9, Theorem 3.7], [13, Theorem 4.7.1] and [14, Theorem 3].

Keywords: Elementary divisor ring; Bézout duo-domain; Quasi-duo ring; Locally stable domain; Gelfand range 1.

MR(2010) Subject Classification: 15A21, 16S99, 16L99, 19B10.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings are associative with an identity. A matrix A (not necessarily square) over a ring R admits diagonal reduction if there exist invertible matrices P and Q such that PAQ is a diagonal matrix (d_{ij}), for which d_{ii} is a full divisor of $d_{(i+1)(i+1)}$ (i.e., $Rd_{(i+1)(i+1)}R \subseteq d_{ii}R \bigcap Rd_{ii}$) for each i. A ring R is called an elementary divisor ring provided that every matrix over R admits a diagonal reduction. A ring R is Bézout ring if every finitely generated right (left) ideal is principal. Clearly, every elementary divisor ring is a Bézout ring. An attractive problem is to investigate various conditions under which a Bézout ring is an elementary divisor ring.

Commutative elementary divisor domains have been studies by many authors, e.g. [3],[5], [9-10], and [13-14]. But the structure of such rings in the noncommutative case has not been

sufficiently studied (cf. [6] and [15]). A ring R is duo if every right (left) ideal of R is a two-sided ideal. Obviously, every commutative ring is duo, but the converse is not true. In this paper, we are concern on when a Bézout duo-domain is an elementary divisor domain. Here, a ring R is a domain ring if there is no any nonzero zero divisor.

In Section 2, We prove that an elementary divisor domain is a Bézout duo-domain if and only if for any $a \in R$, $RaR = R \Longrightarrow \exists\ s, t \in R$ such that $sat = 1$. A ring R has stable range 1 if, $aR+bR = R$ with $a, b \in R \Longrightarrow \exists y \in R$ such that $a+by \in R$ is invertible. This condition plays an important rule in algebra K-theory. We refer the reader to [1] for the general theory of stable range 1. Further, we shall introduce certain stable-like conditions on Bézout duo-domains so that they are elementary divisor domains. In Section 3, we prove that every locally stable Bézout duo-domain and every Zabavsky duo-domainis are elementary divisor domains (see Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.9). In Section 4, we prove that every Bézout duo-domain of Gelfand range 1 is an elementary divisor ring (see Theorem 4.3). Finally, in the last section, we establish related theorems on Bézout duo-domains by means of countability conditions on their maximal ideals. Many known results are thereby generalized to much wider class of rings, e.g. [3, Theorem 3.4.], [5, Theorem 14], [9, Theorem 3.7], [13, Theorem 4.7.1] and [14, Theorem 3].

We shall use $J(R)$ and $U(R)$ to denote the Jacobson radical of R and the set of all units in R, respectively. For any $a, b \in R$, $a|b$ means that $a \in bR$.

2. Bézout Duo-domains

A ring R is right (left) quasi-duo if every right (left) maximal ideal of R is an ideal. A ring R is quasi-duo if it is both right and left quasi-duo. It is open whether there exists a right quasi-duo ring which is not left quasi-duo [8]. We have

Theorem 2.1. *Let R be an elementary divisor ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is quasi-duo.*

(2) *R is left (right) quasi-duo ring.*

(3) *For any $a \in R$, $RaR = R \Longrightarrow \exists\ s, t \in R$ such that $sat = 1$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) This is trivial.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) We may assume that R is right quasi-duo. Suppose that $RaR = R$ with $a \in R$. Write $1 = r_1as_1 + \cdots + r_nas_n$. Then $r_1aR + \cdots + r_naR = R$. In light of [8, Theorem 3.2], $Rr_1a + \cdots + Rr_na = R$. This shows that $sa = 1$ for some $s \in R$, as desired.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose that $aR + bR = R$ with $a, b \in R$. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Since R is an elementary divisor ring, there exist $U, V \in GL_2(R)$ such that

$$UAV = \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix},$$

where $RvR \subseteq wR \bigcap Rw$. It follows from $aR + bR = R$ that $RwR + RvR = R$, and so $RwR = R$. Since R satisfies Zabavsky condition, we can find some $s, t \in R$ such that $swt = 1$. Then we verify that

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1-wts & wt \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} sw & 0 \\ 1-tsw & t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & 1 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix},$$

so we show that

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1-wts & wt \end{pmatrix} UAV \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} sw & 0 \\ 1-tsw & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

One easily checks that

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1-wts & wt \end{pmatrix} = B_{21}(-wt)B_{12}(s-1)B_{21}(1)B_{12}(wt-1);$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} sw & 0 \\ 1-tsw & t \end{pmatrix} = B_{21}(-t)B_{12}(sw-1)B_{21}(1)B_{12}(t-1).$$

Here,

$$B_{12}(*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B_{21}(*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ * & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1-wts & wt \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} sw & 0 \\ 1-tsw & t \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(R).$$

Therefore we can find some $P = (p_{ij}), Q = (q_{ij}) \in GL_2(R)$ such that

$$PAQ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that $(p_{11}a + p_{12}b)q_{11} = 1$, and then $Ra + Rb = R$. It follows by [8, Theorem 3.2] that R is left quasi-quo ring. Likewise, R is right quasi-duo ring. □

Following Zabavsky [13], we say that a ring R satisfies Lam condition if $RaR = R \Longrightarrow a \in R$ is invertible.

Corollary 2.2. *Let R be an elementary divisor ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is quasi-duo.*

(2) *R satisfies Lam condition.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) This is obvious.
(2) If $RaR = R$, by hypothesis, $a \in U(R)$, which completes the proof by Theorem 2.1.□

Recall that a ring R satisfies Dubrovin condition if, for any $a \in R$ there exists $b \in R$ such that $RaR = bR = Rb$. We now extend [13, Theorem 4.7.1] as follows:

Corollary 2.3. *Let R be an elementary divisor ring. If $RaR = R \Longrightarrow \exists\ s, t \in R$ such that $sat = 1$, then R satisfies Dubrovin condition.*

Proof. Let $0 \neq a \in R$. Since R is an elementary divisor ring, there exist $U = (u_{ij}), V = (v_{ij}) \in GL_2(R)$ such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} U = V \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix},$$

where $RcR \subseteq bR \bigcap Rb$. It follows that $au_{12} = v_{12}c$ and $au_{22} = v_{22}c$. Since $U \in GL_2(R)$, we see that $Ru_{12} + Ru_{22} = R$. In view of Theorem 2.1, R is quasi-duo. By virtue of [8, Theorem 3.2], we have $u_{12}R + u_{22}R = R$. Write

$$u_{12}x + u_{22}y = 1 \text{ for some } x, y \in R.$$

Then

$$a = au_{12}x + au_{22}y = v_{12}cx + v_{22}cy \in RcR;$$

hence,

$$RaR \subseteq RcR.$$

But

$$RcR \subseteq bR \bigcap Rb \subseteq RbR \subseteq RaR.$$

Thus,

$$RaR = RcR.$$

Clearly,

$$RcR \subseteq bR \subseteq RbR \subseteq RaR = RcR \text{ and } RcR \subseteq Rb \subseteq RbR \subseteq RaR = RcR.$$

Therefore $RaR = RcR = bR = Rb$, as desired. □

Corollary 2.4 [13, Theorem 4.7.1]. *Let R be an elementary divisor ring. If R satisfies Lam condition, then R satisfies Dubrovin condition.*

Proof. Since R satisfies Lam condition, we see that $RaR = R$ implies $a \in R$ is invertible. In view of Corollary 2.3, R satisfies Dubrovin condition, as asserted. □

Theorem 2.5. *Let R be an elementary divisor domain. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is a Bézout duo-domain.*

(2) *R satisfies Lam condition.*

(3) *For any $a \in R$, $RaR = R \Longrightarrow \exists\ s, t \in R$ such that $sat = 1$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) These are obvious.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (1) In view of Theorem 2.1, R is quasi-duo. In view of Corollary 2.3, R satisfies Dubrovin condition. Therefore R is a duo ring, in terms of [15, Theorem 1]. □

An element $e \in R$ is infinite if there exist orthogonal idempotents $f, g \in R$ such that $e = f + g$ while $eR \cong fR$ and $g \neq 0$. A simple domain is said to be purely infinite if every nonzero left ideal of R contains an infinite idempotent, As is well known, a ring R is a purely infinite simple ring if and only if it is not a division ring and for any nonzero $a \in R$ there exist $s, t \in R$ such that $sat = 1$ (cf. [1]).

Corollary 2.6. *Every purely infinite simple domain is not a Bézout domain.*

Proof. Let R be a purely infinite ring. Suppose that R is a Bézout domain. In view of [13, Theorem 1.2.6], R is an Hermite ring. Thus, every 1×2 and 2×1 matrices over R admit a diagonal reduction. Let $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_2(R)$. There exists $Q \in GL_2(R)$ such that

$$AQ = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$$

for some $a, b, c \in R$. If $a = b = c = 0$, then $AQ = 0$ is a diagonal matrix. Otherwise, we may assume that $b \neq 0$. Thus, we can find $s, t \in R$ such that $sbt = 1$. Then we check that

$$\begin{pmatrix} sb & 0 \\ 1 - tsb & t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} s & 1 - bts \\ 0 & bt \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 1 & * \end{pmatrix},$$

so we have

$$B_{21}(*)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} sb & 1-tsb \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1-bts & bt \end{pmatrix}B_{21}(*) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & 0 \\ 1-bts & bt \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} sb & 1-tsb \\ 0 & t \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(R).$$

Therefore, A is equivalent to a diagonal matrix $diag(1, *)$. Hence, R is an elementary divisor ring. If $RaR = R$, then $a \neq 0$, and so $sat = 1$ for some $s, t \in R$. In light of Theorem 2.1, R is a quasi-duo ring. Thus, R is Dedekind-finite, i.e., $uv = 1$ in $R \Longrightarrow vu = 1$. Let $0 \neq x \in R$. Then there exists $c, d \in R$ such that $cxd = 1$. Hence, $xdc = dcx = 1$. This implies that $x \in U(R)$, and thus R is a division ring, a contradiction. Therefore we conclude that R is not a Bézout domain. □

Lemma 2.7. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is an elementary divisor ring.*

(2) *$aR + bR + cR = R$ with $a, b, c \in R \Longrightarrow$ $\exists p, q \in R$ such that $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$.*

Proof. This is clear, by [15, Lemma 2 and Lemma 3]. □

Theorem 2.8. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *R is an elementary divisor ring.*

(2) *$Ra + Rb + Rc = R$ with $a, b, c \in R \Longrightarrow \exists r, s, t \in R$ such that $s|rt$ and $ra + sb + tc = 1$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose $Ra + Rb + Rc = R$ with $a, b, c \in R$. Then $aR + bR + cR = R$, as R is duo. In view of Lemma 2.7, there exist $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$. Hence, $R(pa + qb) + R(qc) = R$. Write $1 = x(pa + qb) + y(qc)$. Then $(xp)a + (xq)b + (yq)c = 1$. As R is a duo-domain, we see that $(xp)(yq) \in xRq = Rxq$, as desired.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Let $a, b, c \in R$ be such that $aR + bR + cR = R$. Then $Ra + Rb + Rc = R$. By hypothesis, there exist $r, s, t \in R$ such that $tr \in Rs$ and $ta + sb + rc = 1$. Since R is a Bézout ring, we can find a $d \in R$ such that $Rd = dR = Rs + Rt = sR + tR$, as R is a duo-domain.

Case I. $d = 0$. Then $c \in U(R)$, and so $(a + c^{-1} \cdot b)R + (c^{-1} \cdot c)R = R$.

Case II. $d \neq 0$. Write $s = dq$ and $t = dp$ for some $q, p \in R$. Then $Rdr = Rsr + Rtr \subseteq Rs = sR$, and so $dRr = Rdr \supseteq sR = dqR$. This shows that $r \in qR = Rq$. Therefore $1 = ta + sb + rc = d(pa + qb) + kqc \in R(pa + qb) + R(qc) = (pa + qb)R + (qc)R$; hence, $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$. In light of Lemma 2.7, R is an elementary divisor ring. □

Example 2.9. Let R be the ring of all quaternions. Then R is a noncommutative division ring. Thus, R is an elementary divisor duo-domain, but it is not commutative.

Theorem 2.10. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. Then R is an elementary divisor ring if and only if $R/J(R)$ is an elementary divisor ring.*

Proof. $\Longrightarrow$ This is obvious.

$\Longleftarrow$ Suppose that $aR + bR + cR = R$ with $a, b, c \in R$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} \overline{a} & \overline{0} \\ \overline{b} & \overline{c} \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R/J(R))$ admits a diagonal reduction. Thus, we can find some $(\overline{p_{ij}}), (\overline{q_{ij}}) \in GL_2(R/J(R))$ such that

$$(\overline{p_{ij}})\begin{pmatrix} \overline{a} & \overline{0} \\ \overline{b} & \overline{c} \end{pmatrix}(\overline{q_{ij}}) = diag(\overline{u}, \overline{v}),$$

where $\overline{RvR} \subseteq \overline{uR} \bigcap \overline{Ru}$. As R is a duo-domain, we see that $\overline{uR+vR} = \overline{R}$. Hence, $\overline{u} \in R/J(R)$ is right invertible. This implies that $u \in R$ is invertible, as R is quasi-duo. Therefore $(p_{11}a + p_{12}b)q_{11} + p_{12}cq_{12} = u$, and so $(p_{11}a + p_{12}b)R + p_{12}cR = R$. In light of Lemma 2.7, R is an elementary divisor domain. □

Corollary 2.11. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. Then R is an elementary divisor ring if and only if $R[[x_1, \cdots, x_n]]$ is an elementary divisor ring.*

Proof. $\Longleftarrow$ This is obvious, as R is a homomorphic image of $R[[x_1, \cdots, x_n]]$.

$\Longrightarrow$ Let $\phi : R[[x_1, \cdots, x_n]] \to R$ is defined by $\phi(f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)) = f(0, \cdots, 0)$. It is obvious that $I := Ker(\phi) \subseteq J(R[[x_1, \cdots, x_n]])$ and $R[[x_1, \cdots, x_n]]/I \cong R$. Since $R/I/J(R)/I \cong R/J(R)$, $R/I/J(R)/I$ is an elementary divisor ring if and only if so is $R/J(R)$. Since $R/I \cong R$, the result follows by Theorem 2.10. □

3. Locally Stable domains

We say that an element a of a duo-ring R is stable if, R/aR has stable range 1. A duo ring R is locally stable if, $aR + bR = R$ with $a, b \in R \Longrightarrow \exists\ y \in R$ such that $a + by \in R$ is stable. For instance, every duo-ring of stable range 1 is locally stable. The purpose of this section is to investigate matrix diagonal reduction over locally stable Bézout duo-domain.

Lemma 3.1. *Let R be a Bézout domain. If $pR + qR = R$ with $p, q \in R$, then there exists a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(R)$.*

Proof. As every Bézout domain is a Hermite domain, then there exists some $Q \in GL_2(R)$ such that $(p, q)Q = (d, 0)$ for some $d \in R$. We have $p, q \in dR$ and also $R = pR + qR \subseteq dR$ this implies that d is right invertible so there exists some $x \in R$ such that $dx = 1$, now $xdx = x$ then $(xd - 1)x = 0$. Since R is a domain, then $xd - 1 = 0$ that implies $xd = 1$ and so d is invertible. Now $(p, q)Q \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d^{-1} \end{pmatrix} = (1, 0)$. Set $U^{-1} = Q \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$. Then $(p, q) = (1, 0)U$, this means that (p, q) is the first row of U So $U = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(R)$ as required. □

Let R be a Bézout domain. If $Rx + Ry = R$ with $x, y \in R$, similarly, we can find a matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x & * \\ y & * \end{pmatrix} \in GL_2(R)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Every locally stable Bézout duo-domain is an elementary divisor ring.*

Proof. Let R be a locally stable Bézout duo-domain. Suppose that $aR + bR + cR = R$ with $a, b, c \in R$. Then $ax + by + cz = 1$ for some $x, y, z \in R$. Since R is locally stable, there exists some $s \in R$ such that $R/(b + (ax + cz)s)R$ has stable range 1. Set $w = b + (ax + cz)s$. Then $\overline{aR + cR} = \overline{1}$ in R/wR. Thus, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ xz & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ zs & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w & c \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In light of [13, Theorem 1.2.6], R is a Hermite ring. Thus, we have a $Q \in GL_2(R)$ such that $(w, c)Q = (v, 0)$, and then

$$\begin{pmatrix} w & c \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} W = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ k & l \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $w \in vR$; hence, $R/vR \cong \frac{R/wR}{vR/wR}$ has stable range 1.

One easily checks that $vR + kR + lR = R$, and so $\overline{kR + lR} = \overline{R}$. Thus, we have a $t \in R$ such that $vR + (k + lt)R = R$. Since R is duo, we see that $Rv + (k + lt)R = R$. Write $pv + p'(k + lt) = 1$. Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ k & l \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ k + lt & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By virtue of Lemma 3.1, we can find some $P, Q \in GL_2(R)$ such that

$$P \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Write $P = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ p' & q' \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} m & n \\ m' & n' \end{pmatrix}$. Then $pa + qb = m$ and $qc = n$. As $mR + nR = R$, we see that $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$. In light of Lemma 2.7, we complete the proof. □

As an immediate consequence, we extend [9, Theorem 3.7] from commutative case to duo rings.

Corollary 3.3. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. If R/aR has stable range 1 for all nonzero $a \in R$, then R is an elementary divisor domain.*

Proof. Given $aR + bR = R$ with $a, b \in R$, then $a \neq 0$ or $a + b \neq 0$. Hence, $a + by \neq 0$ for some $y \in R$. By hypothesis, $\frac{R}{(a+by)R}$ has stable ring 1, i.e., R is locally stable. Therefore R is an elementary divisor domain, by Theorem 3.2. □

Since every Bézout duo-domain of stable range 1 satisfies the condition in Corollary 3.3, we derive

Lemma 3.4. Every Bézout duo-domain of stable range 1 is an elementary divisor domain.

Theorem 3.5. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. If $aR + bR = R \Longrightarrow \exists\ x, y \in R$ such that $xR + yR = R, xy \in J(R), a|x, b|y$, then R is an elementary divisor ring.*

Proof. Suppose that $aR + bR = R$ with $a, b \in R$. Then there exist $x, y \in R$ such that $xR + yR = R, xy \in J(R), a|x, b|y$. Write $xr + ys = 1$ for some $r, s \in R$. Since R is a duo-domain, we see that $xr \in Rx$, and then $(xr)^2 \equiv xr (mod\ J(R))$.

Set $g = xr$ and $e = g + gxr(1 - g)$. Then $e \in xR \subseteq aR$. One easily checks that $1 - e = \big(1 - gxr\big)(1 - g) \equiv 1 - g (mod\ J(R))$. Thus, we have some $d \in J(R)$ such that $1 - e - d = 1 - g = ys \in yR \subseteq bR$. Set $f = 1 - e - d$. Then $e + f = 1 - d \in U(R)$, and so $e(1 - d)^{-1} + f(1 - d)^{-1} = 1$. This implies that $eR + fR = R$. One easily checks that $a|e$ and $b|f$. Moreover $ef = (e - e^2) - ed \in J(R)$. Therefore $R/J(R)$ is a duo exchange ring. In view of [1, Corollary 1.3.5], $R/J(R)$ has stable range 1, and then so does R. According to Lemma 3.4, we complete the proof. □

Recall that a ring R is feckly clean if for any $a \in R$, there exists $e \in R$ such that $a-e \in U(R)$ and $eR(1-e) \subseteq J(R)$ [2]. We now derive

Corollary 3.6. *Every feckly clean Bézout duo-domain is an elementary divisor ring.*

Proof. Let R be a feckly clean Bézout duo-ring. Suppose that $aR+bR=R$ with $a,b \in R$. Then $ax+by=1$ for some $x,y \in R$. Set $c=ax$. Then there exist $f \in R$ and $u \in U(R)$ such that $c=f+u$ and $fR(1-f) \in J(R)$. Let $g=(1-f)+(f-f^2)u^{-1}$. Then $g-g^2 \equiv f-f^2 \equiv 0\big(\ mod\ J(R)\big)$. Hence, $g-g^2 \in J(R)$. As $fu(1-f) \in J(R)$, we see that $fu-fuf \in J(R)$. On the other hand, $(R(1-f)ufR)^2 = R(1-f)u(fR(1-f))ufR \subseteq J(R)$, and then $(1-f)uf \in J(R)$. This implies that $uf=fuf$. Therefore, $fu-uf \in J(R)$. Write $uf=fu+r$ for some $r \in J(R)$. Then there exists some $r' \in J(R)$ such that $a-g=f+u-1+f-(f-f^2)u^{-1}=(u-2fu-u^2+f-f^2)(-u^{-1})=(c-c^2)(-u^{-1})+r'$. Write $e=g-r'$. Then $a-e \in (c-c^2)R$. Write $c-e=(c-c^2)s$. Then $e=c\big(1-(1-c)cs\big) \in cR \subseteq aR$, and that $1-e=(1-c)(1+cs) \in (1-c)R \subseteq bR$. We easily check that $eR+(1-e)R=R, e(1-e) \in J(R)$ and $a|e, b|1-e$. According to Theorem 3.5, R is an elementary divisor ring. □

Example 3.7. Let $R := \mathbb{Z}_{(2)} \bigcap \mathbb{Z}_{(3)} = \{\frac{m}{n} \mid m,n \in \mathbb{Z}, 2,3 \nmid n\}$. Then R is a feckly clean Bézout duo-domain, and then it is an elementary divisor domain.

We say that $c \in R$ is feckly adequate if for any $a \in R$ there exist some $r,s \in R$ such that (1) $c \equiv rs\ (mod\ J(R))$; (2) $rR+aR=R$; (3) $s'R+aR \neq R$ for each non-invertible divisor s' of s. The following is an generalization of [3, Lemma 3.1] in the duo case.

Lemma 3.8. *Every feckly adequate element in Bézout duo-domains is stable.*

Proof. Let R be a Bézout duo-domain, and let $a \in R$ be feckly adequate. Set $S=R/aR$. Let $\overline{b} \in S$. Then there exist $r,s \in R$ such that $a \equiv rs(mod\ J(R)), rR+bR=R$ and $s'R+bR \neq R$ for any noninvertible divisor s' of s. Hence, $\overline{a} \equiv \overline{rs}\big(mod\ J(S)\big)$, i.e., $\overline{rs} \in J(S)$. Clearly, $\overline{r}S+\overline{b}S=S$. Let $\overline{t} \in S$ be a noninvertible divisor of $\overline{s}$. As in the proof of [3, Lemma 3.1], we see that $sR+tR \neq R$. Since R is a Bézout ring, we have a noninvertible $u \in R$ such that $sR+tR=uR$. We infer that u is a noninvertible divisor of s. Hence, $uR+bR \neq R$. This proves that $\overline{u}S+\overline{b}S \neq S$; otherwise, there exist $x,y,z \in R$ such that $ux+by=1+az$. This implies that $ux+by=1+w'z+rsz=1+w'z+ucrz$ for $c \in R$ and $w' \in J(R)$, because $a-rs \in J(R)$. Hence, $u(x-crz)(1+w'z)^{-1}+by(1+w'z)^{-1}=1$, a contradiction. Thus $\overline{t}S+\overline{b}S \neq S$. Therefore, $\overline{0} \in S$ is feckly adequate.

Let $x \in S$. by the preceding discussion, we have some $r,s \in R$ such that $rs \in J(S)$, where $rS+xS=S$ and $s'S+xS \neq S$ for any noninvertible divisor s' of s. Similarly, we have $rS+sS=S$. Since $rS+xS=S$, we get $rS+sxS=S$, as S is duo. Write $rc+sxd=1$ in S. Set $e=rc$. Then $e^2-e=(rc)^2-rc=-(rc)(sxd) \in rsS \subseteq J(S)$. Let u be an arbitrary invertible element of S. As in the proof of [3, Lemma 3.1], we verify that $(u-ex)S+rsS=S$, as $sS=Ss$. Since $rs \in J(S)$, we get $u-ex \in U(S)$. This implies that $\big(x-(1-e)x\big)-u=ex-u \in U(S)$. Furthermore, S has stable range 1. We infer that $x-(1-e)x \in J(S)$. Clearly, $1-e=sxd \in xR$. Therefore $\overline{x}=\overline{x(sd)x}$ in $S/J(S)$, and so $S/J(S)$ is regular.

As R is duo, so is $S/J(S)$. Hence, S has stable range 1, by [1, Corollary 1.3.15]. Therefore $a \in R$ is stable, as required. □

A Bézout domain R is a Zabavsky domain if, $aR+bR=R$ with $a,b \in R \Longrightarrow\ \exists y \in R$

such that $a+by \in R$ is feckly adequate. For instance, every domain of stable range 1 is a Zabavsky domain. We can derive

Theorem 3.9. *Every Zabavsky duo-domain is an elementary divisor ring.*

Proof. Suppose that $aR+bR=R$ with $a,b \in R$. Then there exists a $y \in R$ such that $a+by \in R$ is adequate. In view of Lemma 3.8, $a+by \in R$ is stable. Therefore R is locally stable. This completes the proof, by Theorem 3.2. □

A ring R is called adequate if every nonzero element in R is adequate. We can extend [13, Theorem 1.2.13] as follows:

Corollary 3.10. *Every adequate Bézout duo-domain is an elementary divisor domain.*

Proof. Let R be a adequate Bézout duo-domain. If $aR+bR=R$ with $a,b \in R$, then a or $a+b \neq 0$. Hence, $a+by \in R$ is adequate, where $y=0$ or 1. This implies that R is a Zabavsky duo-domain. The result follows by Theorem 3.9. □

Theorem 3.11. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. If for any $a \in R$ either a or $1-a$ is adequate, then R is an elementary divisor domain.*

Proof. Given $aR+bR=R$ with $a,b \in R$. Write $ax+by=1$ for some $x,y \in R$. Then $a(x-y)+(a+b)y=1$. By hypothesis, either $a(1-x)$ or $1-a(1-x)$ is adequate. In view of Lemma 3.8, $a(1-x)$ or $1-a(1-x)$ is stable. If $a(1-x) \in R$ is stable, then $R/a(1-x)R$ has stable range 1. As $R/aR \cong \frac{R/a(1-x)}{aR/a(1-x)R}$, we easily check that R/aR has stable range 1. If $(a+b)y \in R$ is stable, similarly, $R/(a+b)R$ has stable range 1. Therefore $R/(a+by)$ has stable range 1 where $y=0$ or 1. Hence, R is locally stable, whence the result by Theorem 3.2. □ □

Corollary 3.12. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. If for any $a \in R$ either $J(\frac{R}{aR})=0$ or $J(\frac{R}{(1-a)R})=0$, then R is an elementary divisor domain.*

Proof. Let $a \in R$. If $a=0$, then $1-a \in R$ is adequate. If $a=1$, then $a \in R$ is adequate. We now assume that $a \neq 0,1$. By hypothesis, $J(\frac{R}{aR})=0$ or $J(\frac{R}{(1-a)R})=0$. In view of [11, Theorem 17], a or $1-a$ is sqaure-free. It follows by [11, Proposition 16] that a or $1-a$ is adequate in R. Therefore we complete the proof, by Theorem 3.11. □

A ring R is called homomorphically semiprimitive if every ring homomorphic image (including R) of R has zero Jacobson radical. Von Neumann regular rings are clearly homomorphically semiprimitive. But the converse is not true in general, for example, let $R=W_1[F]$ be the first Weyl algebra over a field F of characteristic zero. As an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.12, we have

Corollary 3.13. Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. If R is homomorphically semiprimitive, then R is an elementary divisor domain.

4. Rings of Gelfand Range 1

A ring R is a PM ring if every prime ideal of R contains in only one maximal ideal. An element a of a Bézout duo-domain R is PM if, $\frac{R}{aR}$ is a PM ring. We easily extend [4, Theorem 4.1] to duo-rings.

Lemma 4.1. Let R be a duo-ring. Then the following are equivalent:

(1) *R is a PM ring.*

(2) *$a+b=1$ in R implies that $(1+ar)(1+bs)=0$ for some $r,s\in R$.*

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain, and let $a\in R$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *$a\in R$ is PM.*

(2) *If $bR+cR=R$, then there exist $r,s\in R$ such that $a=rs, rR+bR=sR+cR=R$.*

(3) *If $aR+bR+cR=R$, then there exist $r,s\in R$ such that $a=rs, rR+bR=sR+cR=R$.*

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) Suppose $aR+bR+cR=R$. Then $\overline{b}(R/aR)+\overline{c}(R/aR)=R/aR$. By virtue of Lemma 4.1, we can find some $p,q\in R$ such that $\overline{(1+bp)(1+cq)}=\overline{0}$ in R/aR. Set $k=1+bp$ and $l=1+cq$. Then $kR+bR=lR+cR=R$ and that $kl\in aR$.

Write $kl=ap$ for some $p\in R$. Since R is a Bézout duo-domain, we have an $r\in R$ such that $kR+aR=rR$. Thus, there are some $s,t\in R$ such that $a=rs, k=rt$ and $sR+tR=R$. Clearly, $rR+bR=R$. It follows that $rtl=rsp$, and so $tl=sp$. Write $lu+cv=1$. Then $tlu+ctv=spu+ctv=t$. But $sR+tR=R$, we get $sR+cR=R$, as required.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) This is obvious.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose $\overline{b+c}=\overline{1}$ in R/aR. Then $b+c+ax=1$ for some $x\in R$. By hypothesis, there exist $r,s\in R$ such that $a=rs, rR+bR=sR+(c+ax)R=R$. Write $rk+bl=sp+(c+ax)q=1$. Then $\overline{(1-bk)(1-cq)}=\overline{rskp}=\overline{0}$ in R/aR. Therefore R/aR is a PM ring, by Lemma 4.1. That is, $a\in R$ is PM, as asserted. □

A Bézout duo-ring has Gelfand range 1 if, $aR+bR=R$ with $a,b\in R$ implies that there exists a $y\in R$ such that $a+by\in R$ is PM. We come now to the main result of this section:

Theorem 4.3. *Every Bézout duo-domain of Gelfand range 1 is an elementary divisor ring.*

Proof. Let R be a Bézout duo-domain of Gelfand range 1. Suppose that $aR+bR+cR=R$ with $a,b,c\in R$. Write $ax+by+cz=1$ with $x,y,z\in R$. Then we can find some $k\in R$ such that $w:=b+(ax+cz)k\in R$ is PM. Hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ xk & 1\end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ zk & 1\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ w & c\end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, $wR+aR+cR=R$. In view of Lemma 4.2, there exist $r,s\in R$ such that $w=rs, rR+aR=sR+cR=R$. Write $sp'+cl=1$. Then $rsp'+rcl=r$. Set $q'=rl$. Then $wp'+cq'=r$. Write $p'R+q'R=dR$. Then $p'=dp, q'=dq$ and $pR+qR=R$. Hence, $(wp+cq)R+aR=R$. Clearly, $cR+pR=qR+pR=R$, we get $cqR+pR=R$. This implies that $(wp+cq)R+pR=R$. Thus, we have $apR+(wp+cq)R=R$. Write $aps+(wp+cq)t=1$. Then $p(as+wt)+q(ct)=1$. Hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} s & t\\ wp+cq & -ap\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a & 0\\ w & c\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} p & -ct\\ q & as+wt\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & *\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\begin{vmatrix} s & t \\ wp+cq & -ap \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} p & -ct \\ q & as+wt \end{vmatrix} = 1$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we have $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa+qb)R + qcR = R$. This completes the proof, by Lemma 2.7. □

Corollary 4.4 [14, Theorem 3]. Every commutative Bézout domain of Gelfand range 1 is an elementary divisor ring.

We note that Theorem 4.3 can not be extended to any rings with zero divisor as the following shows.

Example 4.5. Let R^+ be the subset $\{(x,0) : x > 0\}$ of the plane $S^+ = \{(x, sin\pi x) : x \geq 0\}$ and let $X = S^+ \bigcup R^+$. If $R = C(X - \beta(X))$ where $\beta(X)$ the largest compact Hausdorff space generated by X in the sense that any map from X to a compact Hausdorff space factors through $\beta(X)$, and $C(X - \beta(X)$ is the ring of all continuous functions on $X - \beta(X)$ In view of [7], $C(X - \beta(X))$ is a Bézout duo-ring. On the other hand, $C(X - \beta(x))$ is a PM ring (cf. [4]). Thus $C(X - \beta(x))$ is a Bézout duo-ring of Gelfand range 1. But it is not Hermite ring (cf. [7]), and therefore R is not an elementary divisor ring.

Lemma 4.6. *Let I be an ideal of a PM ring R. Then R/I is a PM ring.*

Proof. Let P be a prime ideal of R. Then $P = Q/I$ where Q is a prime ideal of R. Since R is a PM ring, there exists a unique maximal M of R such that $Q \subseteq M$. Hence, $P \subseteq M/I$ where M/I is a maximal of R/I. The uniqueness is easily checked, and therefore R/I is PM. □

Theorem 4.7. *Let R be Bézout duo-domain. Suppose for any $a \in R$, either a or $1-a$ is PM. Then R is an elementary divisor ring.*

Proof. Given $aR + bR = R$ with $a, b \in R$. Write $ax + by = 1$ for some $x, y \in R$. As in the proof of Theorem 3.11, we see that $a(1-x)$ or $1-a(1-x)$ is PM. If $a(1-x) \in R$ is PM, then $R/a(1-x)R$ is PM. Since $R/aR \cong \frac{R/a(1-x)}{aR/a(1-x)R}$, it follows by Lemma 4.6 that R/aR is PM. If $(a+b)y \in R$ is PM, $R/(a+b)R$ is PM. Hence, $R/(a+by)$ is PM, and therefore R is an elementary divisor ring, by Theorem 4.3. □

Corollary 4.8. Every Bézout PM duo-domain is an elementary divisor ring.

5. Countability Conditions

Let R be a ring and $a \in R$. We use $mspec(a)$ to denote the set of all maximal ideals which contain a. We now determine elementary divisor domains by means of the countability of the sets of related elements.

Lemma 5.1. *Let R be a Bézout domain, and let $aR + bR + cR = R$ with $a, b, c \in R$. If $mspec(a)$ is at most countable, then there exist $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa+qb)R + qcR = R$.*

Proof. In view of [13, Theorem 1.2.6], R is an Hermite ring.

Case I. $mspec(a)$ is empty. Then $a \in U(R)$. Hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a^{-1}b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Case II. $mspec(a) \neq \emptyset$. Set $mspec(a) = \{M_1, M_2, M_3, \cdots\}$. If $b \in M_1$, then $c \notin M_1$; hence, $b + c \notin M_1$. By an elementary transformation of column, b can be replaced by the element $b + c$. Thus, we may assume that $b \notin M_1$. As R is a Hermite ring, there exists $P_1 \in GL_2(R)$ such that $P_1A = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ 0 & c_1 \end{pmatrix}$. This implies that $aR+bR = a_1R$. If $a_1 \in U(R)$, then

$$P_1A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a_1^{-1}b_1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = diag(a_1, c_1)$$

is a diagonal matrix.

Assume that $a_1 \notin U(R)$. Then $mspec(a_1) \neq \emptyset$. As $mspec(a_1) \subseteq mspec(a)$, we may assume that $a_1 \in M_2$. If $b_1 \in M_2$, then $c_1 \notin M_2$ since $a_1R + b_1R + c_1R = R$. Hence, $b_1 + c_1 \notin M_2$. By an elementary transformation of row, b_1 can be replaced by the element $b_1 + c_1$. Thus, we may assume that $b_1 \notin M_2$. Since R is a Hermite ring, there exists $Q_1 \in GL_2(R)$ such that $P_1AQ_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 & 0 \\ b_2 & c_2 \end{pmatrix}$. Clearly, $a_1R + b_1R = a_2R$. Moreover, we see that $a \in M_1, a_1 \in M_2\backslash M_1, a_2 \in M_3\backslash\big(M_1 \bigcup M_2\big)$. By iteration of this process, there is a collection of matrices of the form

$$P_kAQ_k = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

If there exists some $a_i \in U(R)$, then A admits a diagonal reduction. Otherwise, we get an infinite chain of ideals

$$aR \subsetneqq a_1R \subsetneqq a_2R \subsetneqq \cdots.$$

Further, each $a_n \notin M_n (n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $I = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} a_iR$. Then $I \neq R$; hence, there exists a maximal ideal J of R such that $I \subseteq J \subsetneq R$. This implies that $a \in J$, and so $J \in mspec(a)$. Thus, $J = M_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As $a_k \notin M_k$, we deduce that $a_k \notin J$, a contradiction. Therefore A admits a diagonal reduction. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, there exist $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$, as required. □

We say that an element a of a ring R is a non-P element if, $\frac{R}{aR}$ do not satisfy the property P, where P is some ring theoretical property. We now derive

Theorem 5.2. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. Then R is an elementary divisor ring if*

(1) *the set of nonstable elements in R is at most countable, or*

(2) *the set of non-PM elements in R is at most countable.*

Proof. (1) If R has stable range 1, then it is an elementary divisor ring, by Lemma 3.4. We now assume that R has no stable range 1. Suppose that $aR + bR + cR = R$ with $a, b, c \in R$. If $a \in R$ is stable, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can find some $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$. If $a \in R$ is nonstable, then $a \neq 0$; otherwise, R has stable range 1. Since R is a domain, we see that $a^m \neq a^n$ for any distinct $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $mspec(a)$ is uncountable, then $\{a, a^2, \cdots, a^k, \cdots\}$ is uncountable as a subset of $mspec(a)$. This gives a contradiction. This implies that $mspec(a)$ is at most countable. By virtue of Lemma 5.1,

there exist $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$. Therefore proving (1), in terms of Lemma 2.7.

(2) Suppose that $aR + bR + cR = R$ with $a, b, c \in R$. If $a \in R$ is stable, similarly to Theorem 3.2, we have some $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$. If $a \in R$ is a non-PM element, by hypothesis, $mspec(a)$ is at most countable. In light of Lemma 5.1, we can find $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$. Thus, we obtain the result by Lemma 2.7. □

Recall that an ideal of a duo ring R is a maximally nonprincipal ideal if it is maximal in the set of nonprincipal ideals of R with respect to the ordering by set inclusion.

Lemma 5.3. *Let R be a Bézout domain, and let $aR + bR + cR = R$ with $a, b, c \in R$. If a does not belong to any maximally nonprincipal ideal, then there exist $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$.*

Proof. Set $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(R)$. Since a does not belong to any maximally nonprincipal ideal, it follows by [7, Theorem 1] that A admits a diagonal reduction. Therefore we complete the proof, as in the proof of Theorem 3.2. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. Then R is an elementary divisor ring if*

(1) *every nonstable element in R is contained in a maximal nonprincipal ideal, or*

(2) *every non-PM element in R is contained in a maximal nonprincipal ideal.*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $aR + bR + cR = R$ with $a, b, c \in R$. If $a \in R$ is stable, similarly to Theorem 3.2, there are some $p, q \in R$ such that $(pa+qb)R+qcR = R$. If $a \in R$ is nonstable, by hypothesis, $a \in R$ is contained in a maximal nonprincipal ideal. In light of Lemma 5.3, $(pa + qb)R + qcR = R$ for some $p, q \in R$. It follows by Lemma 2.7 that R is an elementary divisor ring.

(2) Analogously to Theorem 4.3 and the preceding discussion, we complete the proof, by Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 2.7. □

Corollary 5.5. *Let R be a Bézout duo-domain. Then R is an elementary divisor ring if*

(1) *every nonlocal element in R is contained in a maximal nonprincipal ideal, or*

(2) *every nonregular element in R is contained in a maximal nonprincipal ideal.*

Proof. This is obvious by Theorem 5.4, as every local duo-ring and every regular duo-ring are both PM rings. □

References

[1] H. Chen, *Rings Related Stable Range Conditions*, Series in Algebra 11, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.

[2] H. Chen; H. Kose and Y. Kurtulmaz, On feckly clean rings, *J. Algebra Appl.*, **14**(2015), 1550046(15 pages). DOI:10.1142/S0219498815500462.

[3] H. Chen and M. Sheibani, Elementary matrix reduction over Zabavsky rings, *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, to appear.

[4] M. Contessa, On pm-rings, *Comm. Algebra*, **10**(1982), 93–108.

[5] O.V. Domsha and I.S. Vasiunyk, Combining local and adequate rings, *Book of abstracts of the International Algebraic Conference*, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv, Ukraine, 2014.
[6] A. Gatalevich and B.V. Zabavsky, Noncommutative elementary divisor domains, *J. Math. Sci.*, **96**(1999), 3013–3016.
[7] L. Gillman and M. Henriksen, Rings of continuous functions in which every finitely generated ideal is principal, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **82**(1956), 362–365.
[8] T.Y. Lam and A.S. Dugas, Quasi-duo rings and stable range descent, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **195**(2005), 243–259.
[9] W.W. McGovern, Bézout rings with almost stable range 1, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **212**(2008), 340–348.
[10] M. Roitman, The Kaplansky condition and rings of almost stable range 1, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **141**(2013), 3013–3018.
[11] O.S. Sorokin, Finite homomorphic images of Bézout duo-domain, *Carpathian Math. Publ.*, **6**(2014), 360–366.
[12] S.H. Sun, Noncommutative rings in which every prime ideal is contained in a unique maximal ideal, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **76**(1991), 179–192.
[13] B.V. Zabavsky, Diagonal Reduction of Matrices over Rings, Mathematical Studies Monograph Series, Vol. XVI, VNTL Publisher, 2012.
[14] B.V. Zabavsky, Conditions for stable range of an elementary divisor rings, arXiv:1508.07418v1 [math.RA] 29 Aug 2015.
[15] B.V. Zabavsky and N.Y. Komarnitskii, Distributive elementary divisor domains, *Ukrainskii Math. Zhurnal*, **42**(1990), 1000–1004.